

# FUNDAMENTALS OF LOGIC

## NO.3 NORMAL FORMS

---

Tatsuya Hagino

hagino@sfc.keio.ac.jp

lecture URL

<https://vu5.sfc.keio.ac.jp/slide/>

# So Far

- What is Logic?
  - mathematical logic
  - symbolic logic
- Proposition
  - A statement of which truth does not change.
  - propositional variables
  - logical connectives:  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$
  - logical formula
- Truth table
  - truth value of logical connectives
  - tautology = always true

# Sub Formula

- The truth value of  $A$  can be calculated from truth values of *sub formulae* of  $A$ .
- Definition: **Sub Formulae**
  1.  $A$  itself is a sub formulae of  $A$ .
  2. If  $A$  is  $(B \wedge C)$ ,  $(B \vee C)$  or  $(B \rightarrow C)$ , sub formulae of  $B$  and  $C$  are sub formulae of  $A$ .
  3. If  $A$  is  $(\neg B)$ , sub formulae of  $B$  are sub formulae of  $A$ .
- Example
  - List of the sub formulae of  $(p \rightarrow \neg q) \vee (q \wedge r)$ .

# Assignment

- An *assignment* is a map from the set of propositional variables  $V$  to the set of truth value  $\{T, F\}$ .
  - It assigns true or false to all the propositional variables.
  - Example: When  $V = \{p, q\}$ , if  $v(p) = T$  and  $v(q) = F$ ,  $v$  is an assignment.
- An assignment  $v$  can be uniquely extended to a map from the set of logical formulae  $\Phi$  to  $\{T, F\}$ .
  1.  $v(A \wedge B) = T \Leftrightarrow v(A) = v(B) = T$
  2.  $v(A \vee B) = T \Leftrightarrow v(A) = T$  or  $v(B) = T$
  3.  $v(A \rightarrow B) = T \Leftrightarrow v(A) = F$  or  $v(B) = T$
  4.  $v(\neg A) = T \Leftrightarrow v(A) = F$
- Here, ' $\Leftrightarrow$ ' is a meta symbol expressing necessary and sufficient condition.
- A logical formula  $A$  is a tautology.
  - $\Leftrightarrow$  For any assignment  $v$ ,  $v(A) = T$ .

# Necessary and Sufficient Condition

- When  $A \rightarrow B$  holds;
  - $A$  is a *sufficient condition* for  $B$ .
  - $B$  is a *necessary condition* for  $A$ .
- Example:
  - $x = 2 \rightarrow x^2 = 4$ 
    - $x = 2$  is sufficient for  $x^2 = 4$  to hold.
    - $x^2 = 4$  is not sufficient for  $x = 2$  to hold. It is just a necessary condition.
  - When 'If Taro likes Hanako, Hanako likes Taro' holds:
    - 'Taro likes Hanako' is sufficient for 'Hanako likes Taro', but
    - 'Hanako likes Taro' is just necessary for 'Taro likes Hanako'.

# Satisfiability

- Dual concept of tautology
  - A formula  $A$  is *satisfiable* if there is an assignment  $v$  and  $v(A) = T$ .
  - If a formula is not satisfiable, it is *unsatisfiable*.
- Theorem:
  - A necessary and sufficient condition of a formula  $A$  being unsatisfiable is  $\neg A$  is a tautology.
- Exercise:
  - Find all the combination of  $p, q, r$  assignment to make the value  $((p \vee q) \rightarrow r) \vee (p \wedge q)$  false.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$	$p \wedge q$	$((p \vee q) \rightarrow r) \vee (p \wedge q)$
						$F$

# Equivalent Formula

- $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$  will be abbreviated to  $A \equiv B$ .
  - A and B are *equivalent*.
  - $v(A \equiv B) = T \Leftrightarrow v(A) = v(B)$
- Theorem: The following formulae are tautologies:
  - $A \wedge A \equiv A$
  - $A \vee A \equiv A$
  - $A \wedge (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \wedge C$
  - $A \vee (B \vee C) \equiv (A \vee B) \vee C$
  - $A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$
  - $A \vee B \equiv B \vee A$
  - $A \wedge (A \vee B) \equiv A$
  - $A \vee (A \wedge B) \equiv A$
  - $A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$
  - $A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$
  - $\neg\neg A \equiv A$
  - $\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$
  - $\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$
  - $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$

} Idempotent Law

} Associative Law

} Commutative Law

} Absorption Law

} Distribution Law

} Law of De Morgan

# Examples

- *Idempotent*

- $A \wedge A \equiv A$
- $p = \text{'Taro likes Hanako'}$
- $p \wedge p \equiv p$

- *Contraposition*

- $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$
- $\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$  is the contraposition of  $A \rightarrow B$ .
- What is the contraposition of 'If you are scolded by your teacher, you study hard'?

- *Double Negation*

- $\neg\neg A \equiv A$
- 'I don't know nothing.'  $\equiv$  'I know something.'?
- 'I don't dislike you.'  $\equiv$  'I like you.'?



# Propositional Constant

- For convenience, we have two *propositional constants* representing true and false.
  - $\top$  and  $\perp$  are formulae.
  - For any assignment  $v$ ,  $v(\top) = T$  and  $v(\perp) = F$ .
- Tautologies
  - $A \wedge \neg A \equiv \perp$
  - $A \vee \neg A \equiv \top$
  - $A \vee \perp \equiv A$
  - $A \vee \top \equiv \top$
  - $A \wedge \top \equiv A$
  - $A \wedge \perp \equiv \perp$
  - $\neg \top \equiv \perp$
  - $\neg \perp \equiv \top$
  - $\neg A \equiv A \rightarrow \perp$
  - $A \equiv \top \rightarrow A$

# Logical Equivalence

- If  $A \equiv B$  is a tautology,  $A$  and  $B$  are *logically equivalent*.
- $A \sim B$  is used when  $A$  and  $B$  are logically equivalent.
  - $\sim$  is not a logical symbol in the logic, but is a meta symbol which represents 'logically equivalent'.
- Theorem: The followings hold for logical equivalence:
  1.  $A \sim A$
  2. If  $A \sim B$ , then  $B \sim A$ .
  3. If  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ .
  4. If  $A \sim B$ , then  $C[A/p] \sim C[B/p]$   
where  $C[A/p]$  stand for replacing all the occurrence of logical variables  $p$  inside  $C$  with a formula  $A$ .
- This means that logically equivalent formulae can be replaced each other without changing the meaning.

# Extending Disjunction and Conjunction

- For  $n$  formulae  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ ,
  - $\bigvee_{i=1}^n A_i$  represents  $(\dots ((A_1 \vee A_2) \vee A_3) \vee \dots \vee A_n)$ , and
  - $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i$  represents  $(\dots ((A_1 \wedge A_2) \wedge A_3) \wedge \dots \wedge A_n)$ .
- Under the logical equivalence, parentheses may be omitted.
  - $\bigvee_{i=1}^n A_i \sim A_1 \vee A_2 \vee A_3 \vee \dots \vee A_n$
  - $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i \sim A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge A_3 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n$

# Normal Form

- *Literal*

- A propositional variable or a propositional variable with  $\neg$  is called *literal*.
- Example:  $p$  and  $\neg q$  are literals, but  $\neg\neg r$  is not.

- *Disjunctive Normal Form*

- For any formula, there is an equivalent logical formula of the form  $\bigvee_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}$  where  $A_{i,j}$  are literals.
- $(A_{1,1} \wedge A_{1,2} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{1,n_1}) \vee (A_{2,1} \wedge A_{2,2} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{2,n_2}) \vee \dots \vee (A_{m,1} \wedge A_{m,2} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{m,n_m})$

- *Conjunctive Normal Form*

- For any formula, there is an equivalent logical formula of the form  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}$  where  $A_{i,j}$  are literals.
- $(A_{1,1} \vee A_{1,2} \vee \dots \vee A_{1,n_1}) \wedge (A_{2,1} \vee A_{2,2} \vee \dots \vee A_{2,n_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge (A_{m,1} \vee A_{m,2} \vee \dots \vee A_{m,n_m})$

# Converting to Disjunctive Normal Form

- How to convert a give logical formula to a disjunctive normal form:
  1. Using  $A \rightarrow B \sim \neg A \vee B$ , remove  $\rightarrow$ .
  2. Using  $\neg(A \vee B) \sim \neg A \wedge \neg B$  and  $\neg(A \wedge B) \sim \neg A \vee \neg B$ , move  $\neg$  inward until placed in front of propositional variables.
  3. Using  $\neg\neg A \sim A$ , replace more than two  $\neg$  with only one or none.
  4. Using  $A \wedge (B \vee C) \sim (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$ , move  $\wedge$  inside  $\vee$ .
  
- Examples:
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ 
    - 1.
    - 2.
    - 3.
    - 4.
  - $\neg(p \rightarrow q \wedge r)$ 
    - 1.
    - 2.
    - 3.
    - 4.

# Exercises:

- Find a disjunctive normal form of  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$ .
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- Find a disjunctive normal form of  $(p \rightarrow p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (q \rightarrow q \wedge \neg p)$ .

# Exercise

- Find a conjunctive normal form of  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$ .
- Find a conjunctive normal form of  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \wedge ((q \rightarrow s) \rightarrow r)$ .

# Conversion Using Truth Table

- A disjunctive normal form  $\bigvee_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_i} A_{i,j}$  expressing the condition when the formula becomes true.
- Using the truth table of  $\neg(p \rightarrow q \wedge r)$ , find an equivalent disjunctive normal form.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$q \wedge r$	$p \rightarrow q \wedge r$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q \wedge r)$
$T$	$T$	$T$			
$T$	$T$	$F$			
$T$	$F$	$T$			
$T$	$F$	$F$			
$F$	$T$	$T$			
$F$	$T$	$F$			
$F$	$F$	$T$			
$F$	$F$	$F$			

- Picking up the lines with  $T$ , a disjunctive normal form of  $\neg(p \rightarrow q \wedge r)$  is:



# Restricting Logical Connectives

- A formula may use four kinds of logical connectives:
  - $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$
- Using  $A \rightarrow B \sim \neg A \vee B$ , ' $\rightarrow$ ' is not necessary.
  - $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\neg$
- Using  $A \wedge B \sim \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B)$ , ' $\wedge$ ' can be expressed by ' $\neg$ ' and ' $\vee$ '.
  - $\vee$ ,  $\neg$
- Using  $A \vee B \sim \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ , ' $\vee$ ' can be expressed by ' $\neg$ ' and ' $\wedge$ '.
  - $\wedge$ ,  $\neg$

# Summary

- Logical Formula
  - sub formula
  - assignment
  - equivalent logical formula
- Normal Form
  - Disjunctive Normal Form
  - Conjunctive Normal Form
- Restricting Logical Connectives