

# FUNDAMENTALS OF LOGIC

## NO.5 PROOF (EXERCISE)

---

Tatsuya Hagino

hagino@sfc.keio.ac.jp

lecture URL

<https://vu5.sfc.keio.ac.jp/slide/>

# So Far

- Proposition
  - Logical connectives ( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ )
  - Truth table
  - Tautology
- Normal form
  - Disjunctive normal form
  - Conjunctive normal form
- Proof
  - Axiom and theorem
  - LK logical framework

# Syntactic Meaning of Sequent

**Theorem:** The followings are equivalent:

1. A sequent  $A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$  is provable in LK.
2. A sequent  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \vdash B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.
3. A formula  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \rightarrow B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.

**Proof:** For simplicity, let us show the case of  $m = n = 2$ .

- First, if (1) holds, (2) also holds.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_2}{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(VR}_2\text{)} \\
 \frac{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_1 \vee B_2}{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2, B_1} \text{(ER)} \\
 \frac{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2, B_1}{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2, B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(VR}_1\text{)} \\
 \frac{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2, B_1 \vee B_2}{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(CR)} \\
 \frac{A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2}{A_1 \wedge A_2, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(\wedge L}_1\text{)} \\
 \frac{A_1 \wedge A_2, A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2}{A_2, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(EL)} \\
 \frac{A_2, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2}{A_1 \wedge A_2, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(\wedge L}_2\text{)} \\
 \frac{A_1 \wedge A_2, A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2}{A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(CL)} \\
 A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2
 \end{array}$$

# Proof (cont.)

**Theorem:** The followings are equivalent:

1. A sequent  $A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$  is provable in LK.
2. A sequent  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \vdash B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.
3. A formula  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \rightarrow B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.

**Proof (cont.):**

- Secondly, if (2) holds, (3) also holds.

$$\frac{A_1 \wedge A_2 \vdash B_1 \vee B_2}{\vdash A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2} \quad (\rightarrow R)$$

# Proof (cont.)

**Theorem:** The followings are equivalent:

1. A sequent  $A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$  is provable in LK.
2. A sequent  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \vdash B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.
3. A formula  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \rightarrow B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$  is provable in LK.

**Proof (cont.):**

- Finally, if (3) holds, (1) also holds.
- Therefore, (2) follows from (1), (3) follows from (2) and (1) follows from (3).
- Hence, (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{}{A_1 \vdash A_1} \text{(I)}}{A_2, A_1 \vdash A_1} \text{(WL)}}{A_1, A_2 \vdash A_1} \text{(EL)} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{A_2 \vdash A_2} \text{(I)}}{A_1, A_2 \vdash A_1} \text{(WL)}}{A_1, A_2 \vdash A_1 \wedge A_2} \text{(\wedge R)} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{B_1 \vdash B_1} \text{(I)}}{B_1 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(WR)}}{B_1 \vee B_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(vL)}}{B_1 \vee B_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(vL)} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{B_2 \vdash B_2} \text{(I)}}{B_2 \vdash B_2, B_1} \text{(WR)}}{B_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(ER)}}{B_1 \vee B_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(vL)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\frac{}{\vdash A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2} \text{(\rightarrow L)} \quad \frac{}{A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2, A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(\rightarrow L)}}{\vdash A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2, A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_2} \text{(Cut)} \\
 \hline
 \vdash A_1 \wedge A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2, A_1, A_2 \vdash B_1, B_2
 \end{array}$$

# Meaning of LK Sequent

- LK sequent

$$A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$$

- Intuitive meaning:
  - If we assume  $A_1$  to  $A_m$ , we can infer one of  $B_1$  to  $B_n$ .
- Syntactical meaning:
  - $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \vdash B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$
  - $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m \rightarrow B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_n$
- Interpretation of syntax:
  - Antecedent  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  are connected by 'and'.
  - Succedent  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are connected by 'or'.
  - $\vdash$  is 'imply'.

# Tautology for Sequent

- Extend the notion of tautology to **sequent**.
- Let  $\Gamma$  be a sequence of formulae  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  :

$$\Gamma^* = \begin{cases} A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m & \text{when } m > 0 \\ \perp & \text{when } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Gamma_* = \begin{cases} A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_m & \text{when } m > 0 \\ \top & \text{when } m = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Sequent  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$  is a **tautology**  $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma_* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  is a tautology.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sequent} \\ \Gamma \vdash \Delta \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Logical Formula} \\ \Gamma_* \rightarrow \Delta^* \end{array}$$

# Extending Inference Rules

- Applying inference rules to formula in the sequent other than left-most or right-most one.
  - Using exchange rules, formula at any position can be moved to left-most or right-most position.
  - Extend inference rules of contraction, weakening and logical connectives to formula at any position.
- *Lemma*
  - When  $S$  can be inferred from  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  using LK inference rules, we write:

$$\frac{S_1 \quad S_2 \quad \dots \quad S_n}{S}$$

The above inference can be used in other proofs as a lemma.

- Example: lemma

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, A, B, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, A \vee B, \Delta_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, A \vee B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}$$




# Exercises

- Prove the following tautologies:
  - $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$
  - $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$
  - $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$
  - $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B \rightarrow C))$
  - $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$
  - $(A \wedge B) \wedge C \rightarrow A \wedge (B \wedge C)$
  - $(A \vee B) \vee C \rightarrow A \vee (B \vee C)$
  - $\neg(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (\neg A \vee \neg B)$
  - $(\neg A \vee \neg B) \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge B)$
  - $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$
  - $(\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$


# Bottom-up and Top-down Proof

- Bottom-up proof
  - Starting with initial sequent, apply inference rules until it becomes the end sequent.
  - Intermediate state is also a valid proof figure.
- Top-down proof
  - Starting with the end sequent, apply inference rules backward until it becomes the initial sequent.
  - Intermediate state is not a proof figure, but it is just a lemma.

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{ (I)} \quad \frac{}{B \rightarrow C \vdash B \rightarrow C} \text{ (I)} \quad \frac{}{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C), A \vdash B \rightarrow C} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{L)}$$


Bottom-up

$$\frac{}{B \vdash B} \text{ (I)} \quad \frac{}{C \vdash C} \text{ (I)} \quad \frac{}{B \rightarrow C, B \vdash C} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{L)}$$

$$\frac{}{A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \vdash C} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)} \quad \frac{}{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \vdash A \rightarrow C} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)} \quad \frac{}{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)} \quad \frac{}{\vdash (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)}$$


Top-down

# Summary

- LK Sequent
  - Syntactical meaning
  - Tautology
- Inference
  - Lemma