

# FUNDAMENTALS OF LOGIC

## NO.7 OTHER FRAMEWORKS

---

Tatsuya Hagino

[hagino@sfc.keio.ac.jp](mailto:hagino@sfc.keio.ac.jp)

lecture URL

<https://vu5.sfc.keio.ac.jp/slides/>

# So Far

- Propositional Logic
  - Logical Connectives ( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ )
  - Truth Table
  - Tautology
- Normal From
  - Disjunctive Normal Form
  - Conjunctive Normal Form
- Proof
  - Axiom and Theorem
  - LK Framework
- Soundness and Completeness
  - LK Framework is both sound and complete.

# LK Framework

- Use **sequent**

$$A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$$

- Meaning: Assume  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  all hold, deduce one of  $B_1, \dots, B_n$
- Axioms: initial sequent and for constants ( $\top$  and  $\perp$ )

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} (\text{I}) \quad \frac{}{\vdash \top} (\top) \quad \frac{}{\perp \vdash} (\perp)$$

- Two kinds of inference rules:
  - inference rules for structure
  - inference rules for logical connectives

# LK Inference Rules

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{ (I)} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \top} \text{ (T)} \quad \frac{}{\perp \vdash} \text{ (⊥)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{ (WL)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A} \text{ (WR)}$$

$$\frac{A, A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{ (CL)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A, A}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A} \text{ (CR)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma_1, B, A, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta} \text{ (EL)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, A, B, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, B, A, \Delta_2} \text{ (ER)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, A \quad A, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (Cut)}$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{ (ΛL<sub>1</sub>)}$$

$$\frac{B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{ (ΛL<sub>2</sub>)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2, B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2, A \wedge B} \text{ (ΛR)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \text{ (vR<sub>1</sub>)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \text{ (vR<sub>2</sub>)}$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1 \quad B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{A \vee B, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (vL)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, A \quad B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{A \rightarrow B, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (→L)}$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \rightarrow B} \text{ (→R)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\neg A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{ (¬L)}$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \neg A} \text{ (¬R)}$$

# Natural Deduction

- Natural deduction
  - NK Framework
  - Introduced by Gentzen (same as LK framework)
  - LK framework is too formal.
  - NK inference is closer to natural inference.
  - No inference rules for structure
  - Inference rules for logical connectives only
  - Each logical connective has two kinds of inference rules:
    - Introduction rule and elimination rule
    - correspond to right and left rules of LK framework

# $\wedge$ Elimination Rule

- $A \wedge B$  means  $A$  as well as  $B$  :
  - If  $A \wedge B$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  can be used.
- corresponds to the **left** rule of  $A \wedge B$  in LK framework.

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_1)$$

$$\frac{B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} (\wedge L_2)$$

- $A \wedge B, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$  has  $A \wedge B$  in the antecedent, it can be replaced with  $A$ .
- The **elimination** rule of  $A \wedge B$  in NK framework:

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A} (\wedge E_1)$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} (\wedge E_2)$$

- $\wedge$  in the above of  $A \wedge B$  is **eliminated** in the below.

# $\wedge$ Introduction Rule

- In order to show  $A \wedge B$ , it is necessary to show both  $A$  and  $B$ .
- corresponds to the **right** rule of  $A \wedge B$  in LK framework:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, A \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2, B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2, A \wedge B} (\wedge R)$$

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are shown,  $A \wedge B$  is shown.
- The **introduction** rule of  $A \wedge B$  in NK framework:

$$\frac{A \qquad B}{A \wedge B} (\wedge I)$$

- $\wedge$  of  $A \wedge B$  is **introduced**.

# $\vee$ Introduction Rule

- To show  $A \vee B$ , it is enough to show  $A$  or  $B$ .
  - If  $A$ , then  $A \vee B$ .
  - If  $B$ , then  $A \vee B$ .
- corresponds to the **right** rule of  $A \vee B$  in LK framework:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \text{ (vR}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \vee B} \text{ (vR}_2\text{)}$$

- The **introduction** rule of  $A \vee B$  in NK framework:

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \text{ (vI}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{B}{A \vee B} \text{ (vI}_2\text{)}$$

# ∨ Elimination Rule

- When  $A \vee B$  is shown, in order to show  $C$  the following two need to be shown:
  - In case  $A$  is shown,  $C$  can be shown, and
  - In case  $B$  is shown,  $C$  can be shown too.
- corresponds to the **left** rule of  $A \vee B$  in LK framework.

$$\frac{A, \Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1 \quad B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{A \vee B, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (\vee L)$$

- The elimination rule of  $A \vee B$  in NK framework:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]_i \quad [B]_i \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ A \vee B \quad C \quad C \end{array}}{C} _i (\vee E)$$

Assuming  $A$ , show  $C$       Assuming  $B$ , show  $C$   
 └─────────────────────────┘  
 $C$  is shown

$[A]$	$[B]$
⋮	⋮
$C$	$C$

- The index  $i$  indicates that this rule **discharged** some assumptions.

# → Introduction and Elimination Rules

- LK rules for →:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, A \quad B, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_2}{A \rightarrow B, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \text{ (→L)}$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, B}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \rightarrow B} \text{ (→R)}$$

- NK rules for →:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]_i \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \rightarrow B} i \quad (\rightarrow E) \qquad \frac{B}{A \rightarrow B} \quad (\rightarrow I)$$

- The elimination rule of → is the **modus ponens**.

# ¬ Introduction and Elimination Rules

- LK rules for  $\neg$ :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A}{\neg A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} (\neg L)$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \neg A} (\neg R)$$

- NK rules for  $\neg$ :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \neg A \quad A \\ \hline \perp \end{array}}{} (\neg E) \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{\neg A} i \quad (\neg I) \qquad \frac{\neg \neg A}{A} (\neg \neg)$$

- From  $\perp$ , anything can be shown:

$$\frac{\perp}{A} (\perp E)$$

# NK Inference Rules

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{A} (\wedge E_1)$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{B} (\wedge E_2)$$

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} (\wedge I)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]_i \\ \vdots \\ A \vee B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [B]_i \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} C \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array}}_i (\vee E)$$

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} (\vee I_1) \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B} (\vee I_2)$$

$$\frac{A \rightarrow B \quad A}{B} (\rightarrow E)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]_i \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{i} (\rightarrow I)$$

$$\frac{\neg A \quad A}{\perp} (\neg E)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A]_i \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}{i} (\neg I)$$

$$\frac{\perp}{A} (\perp E)$$

$$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} (\neg \neg)$$

# Proof in NK Framework

- Proof in NK framework:
  - Combine inference rules.
  - The bottom (or end) formula is proved.
  - All the assumptions need to be discharged.
- Example:  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$

LK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{A \vdash A}{A \vdash A} \text{ (I)}}{B, A \vdash A} \text{ (WL)}}{\frac{A \vdash B \rightarrow A}{\vdash A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)}} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{R)}$$

NK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{[B]_2}{[A]_1} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{I)}}{\frac{B \rightarrow A}{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{I)}} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{I)}$$

# Example (1)

- Prove  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A$

LK proof figure

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\text{(I)} \quad \frac{}{B \vdash B}}{\frac{\text{(\wedge L}_2\text{)} \quad \frac{B \vdash B}{A \wedge B \vdash B} \quad \frac{\text{(I)} \quad \frac{}{A \vdash A}}{\frac{\text{(\wedge L}_1\text{)} \quad \frac{A \vdash A}{A \wedge B \vdash A}}{A \wedge B \vdash B \wedge A}}{\frac{\text{(\wedge R)}}{\vdash A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A}} \quad (\rightarrow R)
 \end{array}$$

NK proof figure

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\text{(\wedge E}_2\text{)} \quad \frac{[A \wedge B]_1}{B} \quad \frac{[A \wedge B]_1}{A} \text{(\wedge E}_1\text{)}}{\frac{}{B \wedge A}} \quad (\wedge I) \\
 \frac{}{\frac{}{A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A}} \quad 1 \text{ } (\rightarrow I)
 \end{array}$$

Multiple  $[A \wedge B]_1$  are discharged together.

# Example (2)

- Prove  $A \vee B \rightarrow B \vee A$

LK proof figure

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} (\text{I}) \quad \frac{}{B \vdash B} \\ (\text{vR}_2) \quad \frac{A \vdash B \vee A}{\hline} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} (\text{I}) \quad \frac{}{A \vdash A} \\ (\text{vR}_1) \quad \frac{B \vdash B \vee A}{\hline} \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} (\text{vL}) \quad \frac{A \vdash B \vee A \quad B \vdash B \vee A}{\hline A \vee B \vdash B \vee A} \\ (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\hline}{\vdash A \vee B \rightarrow B \vee A} \end{array}}$$

NK proof figure

$$\hline A \vee B \rightarrow B \vee A$$

# Exercise (1)

- Prove  $\neg A \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \neg(A \vee B)$

---

$$\neg A \wedge \neg B \rightarrow \neg(A \vee B)$$

## Exercise (2)

- Prove  $\neg(A \vee B) \rightarrow \neg A \wedge \neg B$

---

$$\neg(A \vee B) \rightarrow \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

# Proof of Double Negation

- Proof of  $A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$

LK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{A \vdash A}{\neg A, A \vdash} (\neg L)}{\frac{}{A \vdash \neg\neg A} (\neg R)}}{\vdash A \rightarrow \neg\neg A} (\rightarrow R)}{(\text{I})}$$

NK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{[\neg A]_2 [A]_1}{\perp} (\neg E)}{\neg\neg A} 2 (\neg I)}{A \rightarrow \neg\neg A} 1 (\rightarrow I)$$

- Proof of  $\neg\neg A \rightarrow A$

LK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{A \vdash A}{\vdash A, \neg A} (\neg R)}{\frac{}{\neg\neg A \vdash A} (\neg L)}}{\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A} (\rightarrow R)}{(\text{I})}$$

NK proof figure

$$\frac{\frac{[\neg\neg A]_1}{A} (\neg\neg)}{\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A} 1 (\rightarrow I)$$

# Law of Excluded Middle

- Proof of  $A \vee \neg A$

LK proof figure

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{ (I)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{A \vdash A \vee \neg A} \text{ (vR}_1\text{)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\vdash A \vee \neg A, \neg A} \text{ (}\neg\text{R)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\vdash A \vee \neg A, A \vee \neg A} \text{ (vR}_2\text{)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\vdash A \vee \neg A} \text{ (CR)}
 \end{array}$$

NK proof figure

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{[A]_2} \text{ (vI}_1\text{)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{[ \neg(A \vee \neg A)]_1}{\perp} \text{ (}\neg\text{E)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\neg A} \text{ (2 (}\neg\text{I)}} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{A \vee \neg A} \text{ (vI}_2\text{)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{[ \neg(A \vee \neg A)]_1}{\perp} \text{ (}\neg\text{E)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{1 \text{ (}\neg\text{I)}} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{\neg\neg(A \vee \neg A)} \text{ (}\neg\neg\text{)} \\
 \hline
 A \vee \neg A
 \end{array}$$

# Hilbert Logical Framework

- Both LK and NK frameworks are by Gentzen.

- Hilbert Logical Framework

- Axioms oriented.
- The only inference rule: modus ponens

$$\frac{A \rightarrow B \quad A}{B} \text{ (MP)}$$

- Axioms:

- A1.  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$
- A2.  $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$
- A3.  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B)$
- A4.  $A \wedge B \rightarrow A$
- A5.  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B$
- A6.  $A \rightarrow A \vee B$
- A7.  $B \rightarrow A \vee B$
- A8.  $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B \rightarrow C))$
- A9.  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$
- A10.  $\neg \neg A \rightarrow A$

# Proofs in Hilbert Logical Framework (1)

T1.  $A \rightarrow A$

- [1]  $(A \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \quad (\because A2)$
- [2]  $A \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A) \quad (\because A1)$
- [3]  $(A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) \quad (\because 1,2,MP)$
- [4]  $A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) \quad (\because A2)$
- [5]  $A \rightarrow A \quad (\because 3,4,MP)$

T2.  $(D \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))) \rightarrow (D \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)))$

- [1]  $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \quad (\because A2)$
- [2]  $[1] \rightarrow (D \rightarrow [1]) \quad (\because A1)$
- [3]  $D \rightarrow [1] \quad (\because 1,2,MP)$
- [4]  $(D \rightarrow [1]) \rightarrow ((D \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))) \rightarrow (D \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)))) \quad (\because A2)$
- [5]  $(D \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))) \rightarrow (D \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))) \quad (\because 3,4,MP)$

T3.  $(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$

- [1]  $((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))) \quad (\because T2)$
- [2]  $((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))) \quad (\because A1)$
- [3]  $((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))) \quad (\because 1,2,MP)$

T4.  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$

- [1]  $(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \quad (\because T3)$
- [2]  $[1] \rightarrow (((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))) \quad (\because A2)$
- [3]  $((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \quad (\because 1,2,MP)$
- [4]  $[3] \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow [3]) \quad (\because A1)$
- [5]  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow [3] \quad (\because 3,4,MP)$
- [6]  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow [3]) \rightarrow (((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B))) \rightarrow T4) \quad (\because A2)$
- [7]  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B))) \rightarrow T4 \quad (\because 6,7,MP)$
- [8]  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \quad (\because A1)$
- [9]  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \quad (\because 7,8,MP)$

# Proofs in Hilbert Logical Framework (2)

T5.  $(C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A \wedge B))$

- [1]  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B)$  ( $\because A3$ )
- [2] [1]  $\rightarrow (C \rightarrow [1])$  ( $\because A1$ )
- [3]  $C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B))$  ( $\because 1,2,MP$ )
- [4]  $(C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B))) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B)))$  ( $\because A2$ )
- [5]  $(C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B))$  ( $\because 3,4,MP$ )
- [6]  $(C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B)) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A \wedge B))$  ( $\because A2$ )
- [7] [6]  $\rightarrow ((C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow [6])$  ( $\because A1$ )
- [8]  $(C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow [6]$  ( $\because 6,7,MP$ )
- [9]  $((C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow [6]) \rightarrow (((C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B))) \rightarrow T5)$  ( $\because A2$ )
- [10]  $((C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A \wedge B))) \rightarrow T5$  ( $\because 8,9,MP$ )
- [11]  $(C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((C \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A \wedge B))$  ( $\because 5,10,MP$ )

T6.  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A$

- [1]  $(A \wedge B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A))$  ( $\because T5$ )
- [2]  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B$  ( $\because A5$ )
- [3]  $(A \wedge B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A)$  ( $\because 1,2,MP$ )
- [4]  $A \wedge B \rightarrow A$  ( $\because A4$ )
- [5]  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A$  ( $\because 3,4,MP$ )

# Lambda Calculus and NK Framework

- Lambda Calculus
  - A model of computation
  - Consist of two expressions:
    - function abstraction:  $(\lambda x. M)$
    - function application:  $(MN)$
- Type of lambda expressions:

$$\frac{x : A \quad \vdots \quad M : B}{(\lambda x. M) : A \rightarrow B}$$

→ introduction rule

$$\frac{M : A \rightarrow B \quad N : A}{(MN) : B}$$

→ elimination rule

- The type determination of a lambda expression corresponds to a proof in NK framework.

# Hilbert Logical Framework and Combinators

- Combinators:
  - Special lambda expressions:
    - $K \equiv \lambda xy. x$
    - $S \equiv \lambda xyz. xz(yz)$
  - Theorem: For any lambda expression, there exists an SK expression which is  $\alpha\beta$  equivalent to the lambda expression.
  - The axioms for  $\rightarrow$  in the Hilbert logical framework correspond to types of S and K:
    - $K \equiv \lambda xy. x : (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A))$
    - $S \equiv \lambda xyz. xz(yz) : ((A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)))$
  - The inference rule of the Hilbert logical framework is the modus ponens and it corresponds to the function application.

# Summary

- Logical Framework
  - Axioms and inference rules
- LK Framework
  - Sequent  $A_1, \dots, A_m \vdash B_1, \dots, B_n$
  - Axioms: initial sequent and for constants
  - Inference rules for structure: weakening, contraction, exchange, cut
  - Inference rules for logical connectives: left and right
- NK Framework
  - more natural
  - Introduction and elimination rules
  - Discharge assumptions
- Hilbert Logical Framework
  - The modus ponens is the only inference rule
  - Axioms oriented
- Lambda expressions and logical frameworks