

# Systems for Distributed Quantum Computing Rodney Van Meter <u>rdv@sfc.wide.ad.jp</u> http://aqua.sfc.wide.ad.jp/



Keio Yagami Campus, 2009/12/14



KEIO 150 Design the Future

#### Why Quantum?



# Two Reasons:

Because Moore says we *must.* Will also influence classical atomic-level architecture. Quantum brings new capabilities:

a) Better computational class for some problems (but not universal)
b) Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)



# AQUA: Advancing Quantum Architecture

い国のつ





#### Workloads

# System Co-Design



Design the Future



#### What is Quantum Computer Architecture?



# How Do Architects Contribute?



- · Identify critical problems
- · Improve efficiency of design
- · Establish targets for fidelity, memory time
- Match applications to systems
- · Classical control design
- · Architects understand resource management





#### "If you can get to 1Hz, the engineers can get another one to two orders of magnitude." N. Gisin, via C. Monroe

...I'm an engineer.



# Results: Workloads, Devices, Systems

- Solidified workload definitions, matched to architecture, significantly improved performance
- Proposed quantum multicomputer architecture using quantum system-area network (QSAN)
- Developed semiconductor quantum dot nanophotonic node architecture using (topological) surface code error correction



# Results & Current Work: Networking

- · Showed that linear network works well for QSAN
- Improved qubus repeater performance 50x by scheduling purification
- · Designing quantum repeater protocol stack
- Defining Quantum Dijkstra: path selection in heterogeneous repeater networks
- · Currently examining resource allocation
- Integrating quantum networks with the Internet: attempting to standardize quantum key distribution with IPsec



# AQUA: Advancing Quantum Architecture













![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Workload Summary

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- · O(.) is not good enough; constant factors matter!
- · Interconnect & qubit movement high impact
- Some algorithms parallelize well; can trade space for time
- · Arithmetic is key

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

# AQUA: Advancing Quantum Architecture

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Nanophotonic Device

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

19

4 repeating "cells" of architecture. Columns extended vertically to about 100 qubits, with multiplexed lasers and detectors above and below. Purification waveguide snakes between columns to allow inter-column entanglement. Waveguides must be dynamically connected as needed with multiplexing network at top and bottom edges.

rdv, T.D. Ladd, A.G. Fowler, Y. Yamamoto, Int. J. Quantum Information, 2010

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Basic Gate**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Copyright © 2006 Keio University 20 rdv, T.D. Ladd, A.G. Fowler, Y. Yamamoto, Int. J. Quantum Information, 2010

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### First Fab Tests @Stanford

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### First Fab Tests @Stanford

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

# A Solid-State Quantum Computer

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# System Summary

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- · One chip: 128x770 physical quantum dots
- · 64K chips in total system
- · 6 billion physical qubits in total system!
- · Uses (topological) surface code
- · 120K logical qubits
- · In-plane waveguides give fault tolerance
- Yield of a few percent will enable lattice-building experiments
- $\cdot$  40% yield will give us a functional, large-scale system
- · Will factor 2048-bit number in a year

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### A Solid-State Quantum Computer

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

# The Quantum Multicomputer

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# AQUA: Advancing Quantum Architecture

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

28

Design the Future

#### Variables

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\cdot$  Two types of quantum networks
- · Four network architectures
  - CSAN
  - QLAN, QMAN, QWAN
- · Applications:
  - QKD: QMAN, QWAN (entangled or not)
  - Shor: QSAN, QLAN (entangled)
  - Generally, connect people, machines, and data in separate locations, same as classical
  - Other uses for long-distance entanglement?

#### The QMC QSAN

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

# $\sum$

#### **QMC** Network Topologies

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

# The Quantum Multicomputer

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

node

Linear connection works well for arithmetic Serial links work surprisingly well with QEC

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

# The Repeater's Jobs

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Entanglement swapping & purification, which require:

- $\cdot$  A little bit of quantum communication
- · Quantum memory
- Local quantum operations (gates & measurements)
- Lots of decision making (both local and distributed)
- Lots of classical communication

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Repeater Protocol Stack**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Van Meter *et al.*, IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking, Jun. 2009, quant-ph:0705.4128

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Four-Hop Protocol Interactions**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Van Meter et al., IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking,

35 Aug. 2009 (to appear)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Network Link Technology (Qubus)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Entanglement Pumping**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Symmetric Purification

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Problems**: Exact matching can require long waits. Not realistic when memory effects (decoherence) considered. Can deadlock if resources are limited.

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Greedy Purification**

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Doesn't wait for anything, uses whatever's available.

Works well w/ large number of qubits per repeater.

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Banded Purification**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

Large gains in throughput. Moderate # qubits (5-50). Avoids deadlock. Realistic memory model. *Simple* to implement in real time (even in HW). Probably not optimal, but probably close.

Divide fidelity space into multiple *bands* 4*e.g.*, above & below 0.70

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Banded Purification Performance**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

41

#### **Banded Purification Latency** 10 greedy, top-down atency to First Bell Pair (sec) greedy, bottom-up 0.1 speed of light (one-way latency in fiber) 0.01 0.001 0.0001 2 8 16 32 128 256 512 1024 64 4 40 160 320 640 12802560 5K 10K 20K 80 Number of Hops (Total Distance) **(EIO 150)** Van Meter et al., IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking, Design the Future 42 Aug. 2009 (to appear), quant-ph:0705.4128

#### Routing

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Simple: use Dijkstra's Shortest Path First.

...but we don't yet know the cost metric.

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Different "Which Path"?

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

3 hops: ACGB G 4 hops: ACGHB **ACEHB** B **ADEHB ADFHB** 5 hops: ACEHGB **ADEHGB ADECGB ADFHGB** 6 hops: ACECGHB 7 hops: ADFHECGB **ACEDCHGB** 

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### But What is Distance?

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

# How Do We Order These?

 $\sum$ 

- How does *number* of links matter?
- Does *number* of **weak** links matter?
- Does *position* of weak link matter?
- · Is cost additive?
- At this logical level, is this technologyindependent?

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Other Problems**

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Defining swap points
- Static or dynamic?
- · Avoiding leapfrog
- $\cdot$  Avoiding deadlock
- Minimizing waits for classical messages

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Defining Cost in a Quantum Network 🔀

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Cost** =*f*(○,×,△,○)

Classical Dijkstra: Cost=○+×+△+○

Quantum: Dijkstra? Cost=○?×?△?○ What functions are possible?

2009年10月27日

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

IC2009-WIP

#### Quantum Dijkstra's Algorithm

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\sum$ 

KEIO 150 Design the Future

#### Resource Management (QoS?)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Worse, fragile quantum memory means there is a *hard real time* component.

==>requires *circuit switching*??? (bottleneck likely is memory per node) (50)

# **Open Repeater Problems**

 $\sum$ 

- · Well, repeater HW doesn't work yet...
- Establishing swapping points
- Non-power-of-two hops
- Finish & publish protocol state machine
- Resource management models
- Optimizing classical communication
- Other error correction mechanisms besides purification

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### IPsec with QKD

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **IPsec with QKD**

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

# The Message

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Architecture and architects matter
- Interconnects and networks matter
- Distributed systems are the only way to achieve scalability
- Classical architecture techniques are viable in the quantum domain
- ...and our group is having fun and solving important problems, so come hang out with us!

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Collaborators on Four Continents

- · Kohei Itoh & Agung Trisetyarso, Keio Yagami
- · Takahiko Satoh & Shota Nagayama, Keio SFC
- · Thaddeus Ladd & Yoshi Yamamoto, Stanford
- · Bill Munro, HP Labs, Bristol, UK
- · Kae Nemoto, NII, Tokyo
- · Austin Fowler, Melbourne, Australia
- · Byung-Soo Choi, Ewha Woman's U., Korea
- Thanks to NSF and JSPS for current funding, and MICT, MEXT, QAP, and Keio's Mori Fund for past funding
- · ...and thanks to NEC for the loan of the QKD devices

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Papers

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

- "Distributed Quantum Computation Architecture Using Semiconductor Nanophotonics," IJQI, 2010
- "System Design for a Long-Line Quantum Repeater," IEEE/ACM Trans. On Networking, Jun. 2009
- I-D: "IKE for IPsec with QKD," Oct. 2009 draft-nagayama-ipsecme-ike-with-qkd-00.txt
- · These & others available on my web page

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Food for Thought

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

- When will first Science or Nature paper appear using a quantum computer, but not about the quantum computer?
- That is, when will a quantum computer *do* science, rather than *be* science?
- Answers from quantum researchers range from "less than five years" to "more than forty years"

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

# AQUA: Advancing Quantum Architecture

い国のつ

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)